

PLAINFIELD TOWN COUNCIL

June 13, 2022

7:00 p.m.

Mr. Brandgard: Welcome to the Plainfield Town Council meeting for Monday, June 13, 2022.

ROLL CALL TO DETERMINE QUORUM

Mr. Brandgard: Please let the record show, all members are present, and we have a quorum for conducting business.

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

Mr. Brandgard: I'd like to ask everyone to rise for the Pledge of Allegiance.

CITIZEN RECOGNITION

Mr. Brandgard: Tonight, we have the Citizen Recognition for the graduation of 2020 & 2022 Citizens Academy. If you would come forward when your name is read, we will present the certificates of completion.

Ms. Singh calls forward the graduating citizens of the class of 2019-2020:

Brad DuBois
D. Blake Wagner
Devin Cheek
Ruth Nassar
Lisa Arickx
Jane Letourneau-Lee

(Applause and pictures)

Mr. Brandgard: We want to thank you for taking the time to participate in the class, and I hope you have learned how the town works and functions, and you use it to good advantage. Thank you.

Ms. Singh calls forward the graduating citizens of the class of 2021-2022:

Jordan Lett	Susan Rozzi
Jennifer Ahles	Valerie Horn
Jason Roth	Doug Fish, Sr.
Doug Fish, Jr.	Janet Yaraschefski
Michael Laver	Rick Fine
Brian Nelson	Patrick Keller
Kentin Gearhart	Andrea Sherman
Erin Bangel	Melanie Strycker
Jason Stanke	Pamela Alford
Brent Shay	William Jeffrion
Brian Petersson	Deidre Hansen

Troy Denney
Sharon Jones
Levi Lucas
Daniel Smith

Maisie Smith
Colleen Powell
MaryJo Jaggar

(Applause and pictures)

(No audio for minutes 0:06:28-0:08:11)

CONSENT AGENDA

Mr. Brandgard: We have a somewhat lengthy consent agenda this evening.

1. Approval of the Minutes of the Town Council meeting of May 23, 2022.
2. Approval of the Accounts Payable Docket of Expenditures and the Affidavit of Payroll, per the Clerk-Treasurer's Report.
3. Approval of the Human Resources Report dated June 9, 2022.
4. Approval of the of the 2023 Employee Health Insurance Benefit Plan, per the Human Resources Report. (Funded from Health Insurance Fund)
5. Approval of the Reorganization of the Utility Billing Division of DPW to become the Customer Service Division, per the Assistant Town Manager of Community Services' Report.
6. Approval of the Hendricks County Communication Center staff Reorganization Plan, per the Public Safety Report.
7. Approval of the Water Agreement between Town of Plainfield and Deer Creek Golf Course, per the Department of Public Works Report.
8. Approval of the quote from Layne for pump and motor repair to Well 9 in the amount of \$26,975, per the Department of Public Works Report. (Funded from Water Source Equipment Repair.
9. Approval to pre-order a Chevrolet 1500 4x4 Crew Cab Pickup from Kelley Chevrolet, per the Public Safety Report. (Funded from 2023 Fire Territory Equipment Replacement Fund)
10. Approval to apply for Walmart Community Foundations Grant to be used for investigation software, not to exceed \$5,000, per the Public Safety Report.
11. Approval to purchase twenty-one M3i Keiser Spin Bikes in the amount of \$36,792.17, per the Parks and Recreation Report. (Funded from Food and Beverage Fund)
12. Approval of the proposal with Performance Services in the amount not to exceed \$137,575 for the Indoor Pool Lighting Project, pending legal review, per the Parks and Recreation Report. (Funded from Food and Beverage Fund)
13. Approval of a Memorandum of Understanding between the Town and the Indianapolis Airport Authority (IAA) to memorialize the partnership required to oversee the administration of the Economic Development Administration (EDA) grant and construction of Airtech Parkway to connect to West Perimeter Road, including the purchase of public right-of-way by the Town from IAA, subject to legal review,

with authority granted to the Council President for signature, per the Development Services Report. (Funded from Six Points TIF)

14. Approval of a Letter of Agreement between the Town and IAA agreeing to a 50/50 Shared Costs arrangement for project costs not fully reimbursed by the EDA Grant, with an estimated liability for the Town of \$1,084,539, subject to legal review, with authority granted to the Council President for signature, per the Development Services Report. (Funded from Six Points TIF)
15. Approval of Supplemental Agreement No. 1 to an existing Agreement with Butler, Fairman, & Seufert increasing the amount by \$57,650 to a new total of \$133,050 for additional work performed to file the EDA grant application and complete the Preliminary Engineering Report, per the Development Services Report. (Funded from Six Points TIF)
16. Approval of a Contract for Professional Services with American Structurepoint for a not-to-exceed amount of \$880,230 for engineering and right-of-way acquisition services supporting the construction of a roundabout at Avon Ave. and CR 300 S, per the Development Services Report. (Funded from 2021 GO Bond)
17. Approval of a Contract for Professional Services with A&F Engineering for a not-to-exceed amount of \$81,960 for a traffic analysis of the Ronald Reagan Parkway per the Development Services Report. (Funded from Six Points TIF)
18. Approval to increase Work Order No. 1 (Town Engineer Services) with Butler, Fairman, & Seufert from \$150,000 to \$240,000, per the Development Services Report. (Funded from General Fund and Water, Wastewater & Storm Water Utility Funds)
19. Approval to increase Work Order No. 6 (Public Infrastructure Inspection Services) with Butler, Fairman, & Seufert, from \$110,000 to \$220,000, per the Development Services Report. (Funded from General Fund and Water, Wastewater & Storm Water Utility Funds)
20. Approval to release all retainage, approximately \$19,200, to John Hall Construction, Inc. for work associated with the Living Christ Path Construction, per the Development Services Report.
21. Approval of Work Order 2022-1.2 with Whitaker Engineering in the amount of \$75,000 for additional engineering study associated with the Quaker Blvd Interceptor sewer, per the Development Services Report. (Funded from SR 267 TIF Fund)
22. Approval of Work Order 2022-2.0 with Whitaker Engineering in an estimated initial amount of \$20,000 for engineering services associated with emergency repair of the West Fork Interceptor sewer, per the Development Services Report. (Funded from Wastewater Operating Fund)
23. Approval of Scope Modification 3 to the contract with Ameresco in the amount of \$49,200 for advancing long lead time equipment submittals associated with the Liberty Water Booster Station and Emergency Generator, per the Development Services Report. (Funded from Current Contract Savings)
24. Approval of the second amendment to Exchange Agreement between the Town and Rock Creek Partners LLC modifying the time to complete the exchange, per the Development Services Report
25. Approval to amend Town of Plainfield Parks Rules, Section 94.064(11) of the Plainfield Town Code, by deletion, per legal direction.

26. Approval to appoint Gary Everling to the MADE@Plainfield Board of Directors, per the recommendation of the Town Manager.

Are there any additions or corrections to the consent agenda?

Mr. McPhail: I would move to approve.

Mr. Angle: I'll second.

Mr. Brandgard: We have a motion and a second to approve the consent agenda as read. If there's no further discussion, roll call vote please.

Mr. Todisco:	Mr. Bridget – yes
	Mr. Angle – yes
	Mr. Kirchoff – yes
	Mr. McPhail – yes
	Mr. Brandgard – yes

The Plainfield Town Council consent agenda for 06/13/2022 is adopted and approved.

Mr. Brandgard: Thank you. I'm building my lung capacity walking up and down the stairs at the new building.

BUSINESS FROM THE FLOOR

Mr. Brandgard: Is there any business from the floor this evening?

(Brief pause)

Mr. Brandgard: Business from the floor?

(Brief pause)

Mr. Brandgard: Seeing none, we'll go to staff reports.

STAFF REPORTS

Mr. Brandgard: Chief Anderson, anything from the Fire Territory? Tim?

Mr. Belcher: (Not at microphone) (inaudible)

Mr. Brandgard: Okay

Mr. Lahey: Out of an abundance of caution I've been asked to communicate the reality that the former building will begin being demolished in earnest tomorrow.

Mr. Brandgard: Tomorrow

Mr. Lahey: Tomorrow

Mr. Brandgard: That's earlier than you told me.

Mr. Lahey: Sometimes we under promise and over deliver.

Mr. Brandgard: That's good

Mr. Lahey: And then if there's any other questions or comments, I can answer those now. If not, I'll have a seat.

Mr. Kirchoff: (microphone not on) (inaudible)

Mr. Lahey: Yeah, Bill Castetter also advised that a camera has been installed on the new building to monitor the demolition as well.

Mr. Brandgard: Thank you. Any other questions?

(Brief pause)

Mr. Brandgard: Okay, thank you sir.

Mr. Lahey: Thank you

Mr. Brandgard: Doug, anything from the Communications Center? Kevin, anything from Planning? Scott, anything from Transportation?

Mr. Singleton: I would like to kind of get into the meat of – finish the presentation that I started with the Plan Commission earlier. I'll try not to delve too much, but I want to make sure you guys have the opportunity to understand where we're at and if there's any questions – because we're inside of about a month that they're wrapping this thing up. This is kind of where we left off after reviewing all those projects. Certainly, if there's any questions about any of those slides that I've burned through already, I'd be happy to answer them. As I'm studying our own report, there's just tons of data in there, right. Structurepoint is doing a ton of analysis; there's a lot of dollars, there's a lot of kind of "fun use" things to consider that will all get factored into what will become an ultimate recommendation. And so, as I'm reviewing it, I understand some of the priorities of the council. These two projects are where I'm starting to lean toward; both in terms of the amount of dollars that we have available to spend under this – off to the right is the cash list, the exact copy of the cash flow report that we put in the agreement with INDOT. So, that has the County's total in there but ours is \$20.5 million out of that, right. So, it just shows that being spent over those two projects until 2027. Imagine these two projects, by the time we get our final estimation – what we're doing is starting to see, projects are stating to get broken down into A, B, C; those are because of timing and funding limitations. So, what we started might have been one project broken down into portions because, you know, to be able to fund from this portion to this portion, that sort of thing. So, the two that I'm kind of showing up there right now is to go ahead and thinking that we will want to invest in that underpass that allows us to connect to Cambridge and Gateway. I can tell you that one of the biggest reasons that I feel like that is going to be a worthwhile investment at this time to recommend, is because it's really, you're going to get this shot and you can't come back to it. We feel like it's going to offer some flexibility, some opportunity in the future to capitalize on that connection. It's going to kind of alleviate some concerns by the businesses out there. As traffic continues to grow, we do have to potentially put restrictions in at Cambridge/Gateway and Hadley/Perry, those types of areas as we continue to see some of the congestion that they're having at Quaker itself. So, I think that connection, regardless of other items, it will kind of start to rise to the top as justifying our investment. So, lots of factors. A lot of subjective comments in that that we're going to get more direct council feedback after this meeting. Before we start to finalize, we wanted to let you all know that that's where I see some of this starting to head. The other project that I've identified, I just know that the council is valuing that Sodalis Nature Park and us finding a way to enhance the park's performance, getting that alternative route for traffic to go around the park. So, Structurepoint is preparing their recommendations and they've got a good jump on those. They're different than mine though, right, because they're not necessarily seeing – they're from a traffic standpoint;

they don't see the – it doesn't jump up to them that Project 1 has a huge traffic benefit. But I just know – and they've listened to the council, and they know some of the feedback. That's one reason you won't see the (inaudible) roundabout up on top. So, that's the process that we have to go through to kind of try to whittle these down and get some real confirmations, and that is ultimately going to be at the council's discretion. But this is kind of where we're at, in terms of the staff and reading the report and trying to understand what the traffic impacts are; the timing of these funds and how these funds can be used, and that sort of thing. Down there at the bottom left is the scoring matrix that has all the project, but you can see a lot of those are grade separated projects at the interchange, and due to cost and due to some other factors, a lot of those we decided they are not going to be seriously considered at this time. That's just something that maybe we can come back to in the future, but for now that grade separated need and the cost associated with it wasn't something that we got feedback that we're ready to take on at this point. Ken Olson is here with American Structurepoint. He's going to just kind of walk through his – this is new information that we're developing; these cost estimates in terms of like I said, how we kind of break these down into segments, so it's a little bit different but he'll talk about why he's thinking that some of these are a priority.

Mr. Olson: Thanks Scott. So, as you can see up on the screen, kind of what Scott was getting at. From Structurepoint's objective stance on this, you know, we're looking at safety performance benefits to these intersections. You know, if Quaker and Hadley, being one of the more accident or crash, or having the higher rate of some of the others – what are the benefits that we're bringing with the traffic improvements. So, from a traffic standpoint, you know, we're also looking at the sensitivity for 5, 10, 20 years out. You know, when one improvement is made, what does that do to the other parts of the network overall? So, we're not just focused on one intersection at a time, but we're looking at how these improvements interact with all the other intersections and moving traffic around. Looking at what projects get the biggest bang for your buck, the total number of users benefitted – you know, we also looked at the travel time benefits. That's also another scoring metric that was included. And then just over all – yeah, that travel time benefit to the users of the project, you know, the residents. As you can see, the very top line is the improvement that is being made currently, with the added northbound left, but then we also looked at you know, the problem area of Quaker Hadley, getting some interim improvements made at this time. And this differs a little bit in the order of what you kind of saw from Scott's order, but in general, making those improvements also at the Cambridge and Gateway intersections to the east and west, but then also emphasizing the timing of Project 6 with the INDOT project and the interchange and the added travel lane project, now is the time. If anything like that was to be approached, now is the time to do it, especially with being able to capitalize on the economy scale of that project at the same time. We've been collaborating with INDOT, meeting with them regularly to share these different ideas, talk about the challenges and different things with those projects. So what you see up here is both total construction costs and we have a total project cost, which evaluates not only the construction but looks at the right-of-way costs, engineering services, utility relocation, environmental impacts, all of those to then start looking at – we have a ranking based on the scoring, and then we start putting the cost, cumulative cost to eventually spend the \$20 million as part of the relinquishment funds. So, what we've started to do is identify that cumulative cost to spend that money, and then identify other funds sources as well to achieve some of the other project goals. Any questions for me on any of that?

(Brief pause)

Mr. Singleton: So just as an example, if we look at Structurepoint's spreadsheet here and you work down the right and you see \$18.2 million, right, so we've got \$20.5 million available, and let's say we don't want to chip in an extra \$2 million to get to Project 6b over there – just as an example. One reason I've got this slide up, the Gateway improvements are something that the town has had kind of the desire to invest in. So, these funds would be a good , it's a good way to use these funds, in the sense that some of the strings that are attached to them, because they get in INDOT's timeline for the interchange and we can kind of work with those guys. So, those can be used to maybe kind of close that gap. And like I said, this is just an example – if you're at \$18.2 million and you need to get to \$20.5 million, but you don't want to take on that extra \$2

million of overspending, we can close the gap with some of those gateways, and you know, go a certain distance. So, I just wanted to kind of stress, that will probably – in all likelihood the recommendation will be in excess of \$20.5 million, but it still might be that project come from a completely different funding source and we can still put some of these in the gateway. So, we'll have all of those conversations as we finalize those decisions, they don't have to be made right at July 11 when we finalize the report, but we have to have a pretty good sense of showing that curve to INDOT, of what we're going to be drawing down on those funds. That's what is of particular interest to them. So yeah, that's – I think that covers where we're at. I'm happy to go back and talk about, if there's any questions, particularly about Project 6. I know it was of particular interest because it's a unique project where we're creating that connectivity between Cambridge and Gateway, but there's going to be a conversation that we have to have with INDOT on those limited access challenges that we have to get them to buy off on, to continue to collaborate on. We've got a meeting on Wednesday with Bill and Robin representing the council to kind of start honing this in, but we'll also have a representative from INDOT at that meeting to kind of start having the conversations that need to be had, and hopefully we get the right solution for everybody. Any questions? I just wanted to make sure everybody's updated and if you've got questions, certainly stop me any time. I don't want the final report to be a surprise to anyone.

Mr. Brandgard: Thank you

Mr. Singleton: Thank you

Mr. Brandgard: Good presentation. Kyle, anything from the Police Department? Jason, Department of Public Works? Al? Steve, do you have anything from the financial side? Stephanie? Jared?

Mr. McKee: I just wanted to thank everybody for coming out to Public Safety Day on Saturday. It was a great day; weather was not like it is today, so I think we had close to 600 people come out, total. And so, again, thanks to police, fire and dispatch for being there. Deputy Chief Joe Aldridge did a great job of putting a team together that was town wide, and I think that's why we saw such a great event come off, because everybody was involved town wide. And finally, if you've not seen this neat little flyer hanging around, this is the "Back your Badge" marathon. It will be LIVE July 1st. Again, we have a great team working together to make this happen, so it's not just one department that's working on that. There's a QR Code down at the bottom, and that will take you to the website that has the countdown. So. We're super excited about that. Thank you.

Mr. Brandgard: Great, thank you. Bill, anything from the IT world? You have been very busy the last few weeks.

Mr. Castetter: (not at microphone) (inaudible)

Mr. Brandgard: Okay. Nate, anything?

Mr. Thorne: (not at microphone) (inaudible)

Mr. Brandgard: Well, we were good till you wanted to talk about liability.

Mr. Parmley: (inaudible) I mean, insurance is a fantastic topic, right. So, that spreadsheet has a lot going on. What I want to bring to your attention there and the things that are in bold there. We're going to talk about the liability to law enforcement, public officials, the police benefits liability, all of that umbrella, etcetera. Nate came to you sometime in February/early March with some numbers that we had provided. Things didn't quite go as we had hoped in our negotiations with our current carrier, so we went back to the marketplace, went out and got some additional quotes. If you'll look to the right, and we're only highlighting on those lines because the property and everything else stays the same. You'll see that there's a Trident and a Liberty option, and if you go down where total premium increase versus decrease, we can save a significant number. But we need to focus in on Trident because Liberty does not have all the quotes that we need. From a liability perspective, they go up through the umbrellas. So, while it's more of a savings, you're not getting the protection in which we have to have. But I wanted to fully disclose to you

so that you could see it. So, you know, long story short is we're going to make a recommendation to move carriers 07/01, with just those portions of the lines of coverage. The property will stay the same as it has, so forth and so on. So again, there's a lot going on in that spreadsheet. The second page, I won't go through it line by line – or I can if you want – but that shows you kind of how we come up with everything. That shows you building value. How we do the general liability exposures, and then your work comp payroll is down at the bottom. I'll bring up one more thing on the work comp, but I don't want to (inaudible). I'll answer any questions that you have – I mean, that's the high level.

Mr. Angle: Is this just FYI, or are you asking for approval?

Mr. Parmley: We would like approval.

Mr. Brandgard: We need a motion to approve going to Trident, on section 1.

Mr. Parmley: It would be our recommendation to make the move, save the money.

Mr. Kirchoff: So moved

Mr. Bridget: Second

Mr. Brandgard: We have a motion and a second to move to Trident effective 07/01/2022-2023. All those in favor signify by aye.

(All ayes)

Mr. Brandgard: Opposed? Motion carried, thank you.

Mr. Parmely: Thank you. One more thing – we'll get applications and things that we need to Nate – one thing I want to just kind of keep in your minds, we're looking at self (inaudible) work comp, kind of a similar set up, if you will, to how health insurance is done. But we're working through that; we'll get back to you early fall with some additional information on that but we're working through that (inaudible).

Mr. Brandgard: Okay, thank you.

Mr. Palmer: Thank you, appreciate it.

Mr. Brandgard: Mark, do you have anything?

Mr. Todisco: Just that this coming week I'll be working with AI as we make the transfer of utilities from CT to utilities. And just as was in my report, as we began four and a half years ago, we were about 80% customer based use and technology and now we're up to 95-97%. As you mentioned, IT has been working hard and they just upgraded our MUNIS system, so I think it's a great opportunity to make it even better technology wise. I talked to Bill, and I know AI's going to have a great opportunity to improve on that.

Mr. Brandgard: Very good, thank you. Mel?

Mr. Daniel: I have one item this evening; something you've heard of before, the Metropolis, potential Metropolis Agreement. We've been through this for a month or so. I'm going to repeat just the basic business terms. You will recall that the business terms are that the Redevelopment Commission would purchase 6+ acres from Metropolis for \$900,000. The \$900,000 proceeds from the purchase of that real estate will be put in an escrow account, and those funds will be earmarked and limited to be used for improvements at Metropolis for the restaurant there, the former Claddagh, and possibly the Cunningham restaurant. All improvements will be required to submit through the town for approval by us before any payments are made out of that escrow account, to satisfy ourselves that the money is being spent as proposed, for improvements at Metropolis. The developer of Metropolis, Poag, will have six months to complete leases for those restaurant improvements and for those restaurants. There's a gap payment due July 1 of this

year; that gap payment will be delayed for one year, and at such time as the restaurant operations are opened, any further gap payments under the existing agreements will be done away with. That's the (inaudible) of the business terms. We're in the process of finalizing some really minor details on the agreements that obviously will go make up this agreement, and I think you got the latest one sometime today – I think Kent forwarded that to you – it still has a couple of items that they're still working on. So, if you're willing to go forward – the Redevelopment Commission heard this at their last meeting, and approved going forward with the agreement, subject to being agreed to by the Town Council. So, if you have any questions for me, I'll be glad to answer them.

Mr. Brandgard: Thank you. What do we have to do tonight?

Mr. Daniel: The preference would be that the town would approve the agreement, subject to any minor changes, non-substantive changes, and authorize Mr. McPhail to sign those agreements when they are finished. We expect them to be finished probably this week.

Mr. McPhail: I would prefer that Mr. Brandgard sign those.

Mr. Daniel: All right, fine.

Mr. McPhail: Well, I would so move.

Mr. Bridget: Second

Mr. Brandgard: We have a motion and a second to approve the agreement with Poag..

Mr. Daniel: It's actually Metropolis.

Mr. Brandgard: With Metropolis?

Mr. Daniel: Yeah

Mr. Brandgard: Oh, okay. Metropolis to purchase...

Mr. Daniel: Is that the way they're prepared? I've got them here.

Mr. McPhail: That's the legal name that they're...

Mr. Daniel: Right, Metropolis Lifestyle Center.

Mr. Brandgard: Okay

Mr. Brandgard: Okay, it's not the new name.

Mr. Daniel: Right

Mr. Brandgard: Okay, understandable.

Mr. Daniel: Right

Mr. Brandgard: The agreement with Metropolis to purchase additional property from them by the terms that were just described to us. Again, we had a motion and a second. Roll call please?

Mr. Todisco:	Mr. Bridget – yes
	Mr. Angle – no
	Mr. Kirchoff – yes
	Mr. McPhail – yes
	Mr. Brandgard – yes

Motion has been approved.

Mr. Brandgard: Thank you.

Mr. Daniel: Thank you.

Mr. Brandgard: It threw me off when you started talking about Metropolis.

Mr. Klinger: That's a blast from the past.

Mr. Brandgard: A blast from the past, exactly. Have I missed any staff? Brent, did you have anything from Parks? When you sit in the back it's hard to see sometimes. Brad, anything from the Chamber?

Mr. DuBois: Thank you sir. I think (inaudible) and email, inviting you to a ribbon cutting for staff management. If you can make that, it's an employment agency in Plainfield. They're right west of Hendricks County Bank in that little strip center. There's been a lot of activity there, cleaning that up. So, if you guys can make that at 11:00 a.m., we'll cut the ribbon promptly at 11:00 a.m., I promise you. If you can get there a little earlier, we'll have an open house afterwards, so. And they would like if someone would say a few words.

Mr. Brandgard: Unfortunately, I won't be able to make it. I'm already committed but Andrew said he would...

Mr. Klinger: I'll be there.

Mr. DuBois: Okay, good. They'd like somebody to say a few words.

Mr. Klinger: Sure

Mr. DuBois: Okay, great. That's it.

Mr. Brandgard: Okay, thank you.

TOWN MANAGER'S REPORT

Mr. Brandgard: Town Manager's report.

Mr. Klinger: Yeah, so I know you were all present in the room about an hour or so ago, when we had the special meeting of the Plan Commission, but I still have a legal responsibility to certify.

In my capacity as the Secretary of the Town of Plainfield Plan Commission I hereby certify that the Plan Commission held a public hearing on June 13, 2022, regarding the 2022 Park Impact Fee. The Plan Commission voted 7-0 to make a favorable recommendation to the Town Council to amend the Comprehensive Plan to adopt the Zone Improvement Plan and to adopt Ordinance No. 30-2022.

And that ordinance appears on your agenda later this evening. I would appreciate your consideration of that certification.

Mr. Angle: I move to accept the Plan Commission's recommendation.

Mr. McPhail: Second

Mr. Brandgard: We have a motion and a second to accept the Plan Commission's recommendation relative to the Park Impact Fee. If there's no further discussion, all those in favor signify by aye.

(All ayes)

Mr. Brandgard: Opposed? Motion carried, thank you.

Mr. Klinger: That's really all I have for you this evening unless you have any questions for me.

Mr. Brandgard: I thought we'd hear about your vacation.

Mr. Klinger: It was a great vacation, a real good trip. We went white water rafting.

OLD BUSINESS

Mr. Brandgard: Kent, any old business?

Mr. McPhail: I'd just like to thank Taft, Jim Schwarz and Mel, for all the work they've done on this Metropolis project. Thank the council for approving.

Mr. Brandgard: Lance?

Mr. Bridget: No

Mr. Brandgard: Bill?

NEW BUSINESS

Mr. Brandgard: New business?

Mr. McPhail: None

Mr. Angle: Mr. President, I have one item. A few folks have reached out via email to myself and others on the council, requesting consideration to recognize June as Pride Month, an official proclamation. So, I wanted to bring that forward to the council. I'm not a hundred percent sure how proclamations go forward, but as my duty as a councilmember, I wanted to bring that forward.

Mr. Brandgard: Okay, we all got it, so... I think we had a discussion about these types of things.

Mr. Klinger: Yeah, I mean, it's up to the council obviously. Staff will prepare whatever proclamation you ask for, or ask us to do, but typically in the past the council hasn't really done proclamations for these types of things. It's usually been to honor specific individuals for actions that they've taken. You know, like, we plan to bring in the track and field team to recognize the State Championship that they just won. The council has done some proclamations for like public safety, Telecommunications Week where we're honoring our own employees for the work that they do. The only really maybe exception is Constitution Week, which I think again, relates back to what we do as a town and the oaths that the council takes to uphold the constitution. So, I mean, again, we're open to whatever the council's wishes are, but I would say typically the council in the past has not done any proclamations that are really tied to sort of social or cultural issues, but more directly tied to actions of specific individuals or groups of individuals. Again, staff – typically how it does work is there's a request and if we get direction from the council to prepare that proclamation, then we would have staff do that and present it to the council for your approval. We would need some direction from council to make that happen.

Mr. Angle: Okay, interesting. I didn't know exactly how proclamations worked, probably because I haven't been asked before.

Mr. Klinger: Yeah

Mr. Angle: So, interesting.

Mr. Klinger: We do, we get requests fairly frequently and there are times where we politely turn them down.

Mr. Kirchoff: (inaudible)

Mr. Klinger: That's just not how we've handled it here.

Mr. McPhail: I think (inaudible) current position.

Mr. Brandgard: Yes. I think we'll stick with our current position unless there's any other reason not to. Thank you.

Mr. Angle: Well, I appreciate the council's consideration, and wanted to make sure it was brought up publicly. It was a resident and also someone who's part of Hendricks County Pride also emailed as well. Thank you.

Mr. Brandgard: Thank you.

Mr. Bridget: No new business.

Mr. Kirchoff: I just wanted to commend – I'm not sure who all was involved but Public Safety Day was outstanding. I don't know who was in charge of the weather, but the timing was wonderful. To see the families there and the kids enjoying it, it was just really well done. So, kudos to you all.

Mr. Brandgard: Yeah, thank you. I wanted to mention also, that was a really good three hour interruption in the day. I spent two hours down there and that was enjoyable meeting people and seeing all the equipment and everybody that was down there; well represented. And the helicopter actually got there.

Mr. McPhail: Well, the sunshine come out just at the right time.

Mr. Brandgard: Yes, it did.

RESOLUTIONS

Mr. Brandgard: If there's nothing else, we'll go to the resolutions. We have a few. We have Resolution No. 2022-29 – A Resolution Approving a Transfer of Appropriations (ARP Coronavirus Local Fiscal Recovery).

Mr. McPhail: Move to approve.

Mr. Bridget: Second

Mr. Brandgard: We have a motion and a second to approve Resolution No. 2022-29. If there's no further discussion, roll call vote please.

Mr. Todisco:	Mr. Bridget – yes
	Mr. Angle – yes
	Mr. Kirchoff – yes
	Mr. McPhail – yes
	Mr. Brandgard – yes

Plainfield Town Council Resolution No. 2022-29 is approved and adopted.

Mr. Angle – yes

Mr. Kirchoff – yes

Mr. McPhail – yes

Mr. Brandgard – yes

Plainfield Town Council Resolution No. 2022-32 is approved and adopted.

Mr. Brandgard: Thank you

ORDINANCES

Mr. Brandgard: We also have the First Reading of Ordinance No. 28-2022 – An Ordinance Creating the Plainfield Wheel Tax Fund and Imposing a Municipal Wheel Tax.

Mr. McPhail: Move to approve.

Mr. Bridget: Second

Mr. Brandgard: We have a motion and a second to approve the First Reading of Ordinance No. 28-2022. If there's no further discussion, roll call vote please.

Mr. Todisco:

Mr. Bridget – yes

Mr. Angle – yes

Mr. Kirchoff – yes

Mr. McPhail – yes

Mr. Brandgard – yes

First Reading of Plainfield Town Council Ordinance No. 28-2022 is approved.

Mr. Brandgard: We also have the First Reading of Ordinance No. 29-2022 – An Ordinance Creating the Plainfield Surtax Fund and Imposing a Motor Vehicle License Excise Surtax.

Mr. Angle: Move to approve.

Mr. McPhail: Second

Mr. Brandgard: We have a motion and a second to approve the First Reading of Ordinance No. 29-2022. If there's no further discussion, roll call vote please.

Mr. Todisco:

Mr. Bridget – yes

Mr. Angle – yes

Mr. Kirchoff – yes

Mr. McPhail – yes

Mr. Brandgard – yes

Mr. Todisco: Mr. Bridget – yes
Mr. Angle – yes
Mr. Kirchoff – yes
Mr. McPhail – yes
Mr. Brandgard – yes

Third Reading of Plainfield Town Council Ordinance No. 23-2022 is approved and adopted.

Mr. Brandgard: Thank you. We also have Ordinance No. 24-2022 – An Ordinance to Amend the Plainfield Zoning Ordinance of the Town of Plainfield, Indiana, and Fixing a Time When the Same Shall Take Effect (Verizon Wireless).

Mr. Angle: Move to approve.

Mr. McPhail: Second

Mr. Brandgard: We have a motion and a second to approve the Third Reading and Adoption of Ordinance No. 24-2022. If there's no further discussion, roll call vote please.

Mr. Todisco: Mr. Bridget – yes
Mr. Angle – yes
Mr. Kirchoff – yes
Mr. McPhail – yes
Mr. Brandgard – yes

Third Reading of Plainfield Town Council Ordinance No. 24-2022 is approved and adopted.

Mr. Brandgard: We also have a request to have the Third Reading and Adoption of Ordinance No. 26-2022, and we need to suspend the rules to allow that.

Mr. McPhail: I move we suspend the rules and allow for the Third Reading and Adoption of Ordinance No. 26-2022.

Mr. Bridget: Second

Mr. Brandgard: Motion and a second to suspend the rules to allow the Third Reading and Adoption of Ordinance No. 26-2022. If there's no further discussion, roll call vote please.

Mr. Todisco: Mr. Bridget – yes
Mr. Angle – yes
Mr. Kirchoff – yes
Mr. McPhail – yes
Mr. Brandgard – yes

Suspension of the rules to allow the Third Reading and Adoption of Ordinance No. 26-2022 has been approved.

(All ayes)

Mr. Brandgard: Opposed? Motion carried, thank you.

DocuSigned by:
Robin G. Brandgard
0895B0F0F9B57437...

Robin G. Brandgard, President

DocuSigned by:
Mark J. Todisco
5C89G9DDFB63426...

Mark J. Todisco, Clerk-Treasurer